

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,583	03/29/2004		Efraim Atad	27614	3985
Martin D. Moy	7590 nihan	12/20/2007	EXAMINER		
PRTSI, Inc.			RAY, AMIT K		
P. O. Box 16446 Arlington, VA 22215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2623	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				12/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/810,583	ATAD ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
		Amit K. Ray	2623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	ICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			,			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
,	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·	-	d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a ity documents have bee i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
	and all all all all all all all all all al	or the contined copies no	. 10001404.			
Attachmen	He)		•			
_	us) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notic Information 	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail DateInformal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Mehravari, US 20030133413.

Re. Claim 1, Mehravari discloses a user installation for interfacing a television or like device (580) with a video broadcast multi-channel feed signal, the installation comprising:

- (a) a connector (519 in Fig.4) for connection to a video broadcast multi-channel feed receiver installation,
- (b) a splitter unit (560 in Fig.4) attached to said connector for splitting incoming signals from said connector into a video feed signal (Fig.4, Feed from 560 to 580) and a signal received from a terrestrial network (Fig.4, 560 splits data signal from terrestrial network 132 via satellite), and for directing outgoing signals for said terrestrial network to said connector (Fig.4, 560 sends outgoing signal to connector 519); [0050], lines 4-6, showing two-way, high-speed data service),

Application/Control Number: 10/810,583

Art Unit: 2623

(c) said terrestrial network signal providing a return link to support user interaction from said interfaced device (Fig.4, [0050], lines 1-12).

Re. Claim 2, the user installation of claim 1, wherein said video broadcast multichannel feed signal comprises a satellite signal (Fig.4, satellite dish 518 receiving broadcast multi-channel feed from satellite relay 517 for users 510).

Re. Claim 3, the user installation of claim 1, wherein said video broadcast multichannel feed signal comprises a terrestrial signal ([0050], lines 7-10, showing terrestrial signal with video broadcast satellite signal).

Re. Claim 4, the user installation of claim 1, further operable to provide wide area network (WAN) support so that said connected satellite TV installation, when supplied with a terrestrial antenna (Fig.4, terrestrial antenna 620), can serve as a WAN node ([0009], lines 1-15).

Re. Claim 6, the user installation of claim 1, further operable to provide Hotspot support so that said connected satellite TV installation, when supplied with a terrestrial antenna (Fig.4,terrestrial antenna 620), can provide a local hotspot ([0059], lines 4-7, antenna radiating and receiving communications signals to and from the wireless devices 230, thus showing local hotspot)

Re. Claim 7, the user installation of claim 6, wherein said hotspot support substantially fulfils the requirements of IEEE standard 802.11 ([0064], lines 27-32).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,583

Art Unit: 2623

Re. Claim 8, the user installation of claim 1, comprising set top box (STB) functionality ([0057], lines 3-5), WAN functionality ([0060], lines 1-7), and splitter combiner functionality ([0053], lines 7-9).

Re. Claim 9, the user installation of claim 1, further comprising a residential gateway (Fig.4, access point 550; [0059], lines 7-9, access point 550 serving as access point or gateway for all wireless communication devices 230) comprising interface functionality for at least one of a LAN (]0004], lines 10-12), an Internet enabled device ([0036], lines 15-19), and a voice over IP enabled device.

Claim11, the user installation of claim 8, further comprising hotspot management functionality, is rejected on the same grounds as Claim 6.

Re. Claim 17, the user installation of claim 1, wherein said connector is adapted to use an existing co-ax cable or a twisted pair for sending outgoing signals ([0007], lines 1-28, using existing wire-line infrastructure of high bandwidth coaxial or hybrid fiber-coaxial of TV operators, satellite communication providers, telephone operators, etc).

Re. Claim 18, the user installation of claim 1, wherein said connector is adapted to use Ethernet for sending outgoing signals (Fig.4, splitter 560 i.e. connector is connected to switch 540, which is 240 in Fig.5; [0035], lines 12-14, wireless modem 235 i.e. 555 in Fig.4 providing the information to switch 240 i.e. 540 in Fig.5 via an Ethernet).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,583 Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5, 10, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehravari in view of Reisman, US 20040031058.

Re. Claim 5, Mehravari teaches the user installation of claim 4 and wide area network support.

Mehravari does not teach IEEE standard 802.16 or 802.20 for supporting WAN or wide-area network.

In an analogous art, Reisman teaches a transmission protocol referring to any form of "communication" or "transport", including connections to directly attached devices, local area networks (LANs), and wide area networks (WANs), which are adapted to IEEE 802.16 standard (([0085], lines 1-20).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify

Mehravari with Reisman to include IEEE 802.16 standard, as taught by Reisman, for the
benefit of providing WAN support so that all communication devices and connected
networks would be compatible with each other.

Re. Claim 10, the user installation of claim 9, wherein said residential gateway and a set top box functionality are integrated within a single housing, Mehravari does not teach this limitation of integrating residential gateway and a set-top box within a single housing. The Examiner takes official notice in that it is notoriously known in the art as it is a standard practice in industry to integrate components in a single housing or enclosure for various design and economic reasons, and therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify Mehravari to include integrating residential gateway and a set-top box within a single housing, for the benefit of user having less space requirement and reducing installation time without having to connect each components by himself/herself.

Re. Claim 12, the user installation of claim 8, comprising master STB functionality for connecting a plurality of set top boxes, Mehravari does not teach a master STB functionality for connecting a plurality of set top boxes.

In an analogous art, Reisman teaches that limitation ([0276], lines 1-15; [0306], lines 1-19; from [0276] and [0306], it would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art that the new class of STBs are able to provide master STB functionality for connecting plurality of set-top boxes).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify Mehravari to include master STB functionality for connecting a plurality of set-top boxes, as taught by Reisman, for the benefit of users in a household so that each user would

Application/Control Number: 10/810,583

Art Unit: 2623

be able to control TV viewing with a simple version of a set-top box, which would result in less cost to a household for total number of set top boxes.

Re. Claim 13, the user installation of claim 1, comprising residential gateway functionality with local area network (LAN) support for supporting a plurality of household communication enabled devices over a LAN, Mehravari teaches user installation of Claim 1 comprising residential gateway (Fig.4, access point 550; [0059], lines 7-9, access point 550 serving as access point or gateway for all wireless communication devices 230).

Mehravari does not teach residential gateway functionality with local area network (LAN) support.

In an analogous art, Reisman teaches residential gateway functionality with local area network (LAN) support ([0149], lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify

Mehravari to include local area network support, as taught by Reisman, for the benefit

of household users so that each user individually could share all available resources

and devices.

Re. Claim 14, the user installation of claim 13, wherein said LAN support comprises Ethernet support, Mehravari teaches LAN support with Ethernet ([0030], lines 3-7).

Re. Claim15, the user installation of claim 13, wherein said LAN support is over a co-ax cable, Mehravari does not teach LAN support with co-ax cable.

In an analogous art, Reisman teaches that ([0085], lines 4-6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify Mehravari to include LAN support with existing co-ax cable, as taught by Reisman, for the benefit of users of having less cost for LAN installation.

Re. Claim 16, the user installation of claim 13, wherein said LAN support comprises wireless network support, Mehravari does not teach LAN support with wireless network.

In an analogous art, Reisman teaches that limitation ([0304], lines 6-8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify

Mehravari to include LAN support with wireless network, as taught by Reisman, for the
benefit of users to install LAN faster by avoiding the cumbersome process of connecting
each nodes of the LAN network by coaxial cable.

CONTACT

5. Any enquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Amit Ray whose telephone number is 571-272-6339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, alternate Friday off, 7:30 AM –5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Application/Control Number: 10/810,583 Page 9

Art Unit: 2623

supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on 571-272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR)) system. Statute information for published applications may be obtained from either PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, seehttp://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on accessing the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CHRISTOPHER GRANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600